

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

COCHISE PATRICK BURNS,

Defendant and Appellant.

B213705

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BA327429)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Rand S. Rubin, Judge. Affirmed.

Sally Patrone Brajevich, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Cochise Burns was charged by information with 12 felony counts, including child abuse, possession of a machine gun, discharge of a firearm with gross negligence, possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, and assault with a firearm, with further allegations that he had suffered multiple prior convictions. The charges were based on defendant's conduct on August 10, 2007, at the Los Angeles residence of the mother of his two children. The matter proceeded to jury trial. During the course of trial, defendant withdrew his not guilty plea and entered a negotiated plea of no contest to one count of assault with a firearm and admitted personal use of a firearm and having suffered a prior conviction under the Three Strikes law in exchange for an agreed upon sentence of seven years in state prison

Defendant filed a timely notice of appeal and we appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441–442.) We then sent letters to defendant and appointed counsel, directing counsel to immediately forward the appellate record to defendant and notifying defendant that within 30 days he could personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished us to consider. To date, no response has been received.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant's counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

MALLANO, P. J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, J.

FERNS, J.*

* Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.